Sample Proportion Sampling Dist: $\mu_{\hat{p}} = p \; \text{ and } \; \sigma_p = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$

Popular Z values:

Confidence	Error Probability	Z	
.9	.1	1.65	
.95	.05	1.96	
.99	.01	2.58	

Population Proportion Confidence Interval: $\hat{p} \pm z * \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$

Test Statistic for Proportion Hypothesis Test: $Z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}$

Hypothesis Test Decisions:

Alternative Hypothesis	Probability	P-Value
$H_a: p > p_0$	Right Tail	P(Z>z*)
$H_a: p < p_0$	Left Tail	P(Z <z*)< td=""></z*)<>
$H_a: p \neq p_0$	Two Tail	2*P(Z<- z*)

1) John Lester, a Red Sox starting pitcher, throws two types of pitches – strikes and balls. In a random sample of 113 pitches, 73 were strikes.

n=113 $\hat{p}=\frac{73}{113}=.6460$ q=.3540a. Find a 99% confidence interval for the population proportion of strikes to pitches

Find a 99% confidence interval for the population proportion of strikes to pitches for John Lester and give a good interpretation of the interval.
random sample • n.β = 113(.6460) = 72.998 ≥15 • n (1-β) = 113(.3540) = 40.002 ≥15

$$\hat{p} \pm Z\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = .646 \pm 2.58\left(\sqrt{.646(1-.646)}\right) = .646 \pm .1161$$

$$= (.5299, .7621)$$

We are 99% confident that the true population proportion of strikes John lester thows is between .5299 and .7621, he throws more strikes than balls.

b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the population proportion of strikes to pitches for John Lester and give a good interpretation of the interval.

The only thing that changes is Z = 1.96.646 ± 1.96 $\left(\sqrt{\frac{696(1-.646)}{113}}\right) = .646 \pm .0882 = (.5578, .7342)$

We are 95% confident that the true population proportion of strikes John Lester throws is between .5578 \$.7342; He throws more strikes than balls.

- c. Name one of the two ways to make the confidence interval from part b narrower.
- 1) Increase n
- 2) decrease confidence -> decrease Z

- d. Test, with 95% confidence that John Lester throws more strikes than balls. Give a good interpretation of your results.
 - i. State Hypothesis: H_a: P≤.5 H_a: P≤.5
 - ii. Check Assumptions:

- · random sample
- iii. Calculate Test Statistic

$$2^{*} = \frac{.646 - .5}{\sqrt{\frac{.5(1 - .5)}{113}}} = \frac{.146}{.0470} = 3.1064$$

iv. Find p-value
$$P(Z > z^*) \approx P(Z > 3.11)$$

= $|-P(Z < 3.11)$
= $|-.999|$
= . 0009

v. Interpret alternative b/c .000941-.95=.05. There is

Sufficient evidence to suggest that the true population proportion is greater than .5.

e. Are the results the same at 99.99% confidence? Why or why not?

.0009 \(1-.9999 = .0001\) So we fail to reject here. The results are not the same.

- f. Test, with 95% confidence that the proportion of strikes John Lester throws differs from .75. Give a good interpretation of your results.
 - i. State Hypothesis: H_a: p=.75 Ha: p‡.75
 - ii. Check Assumptions:
 NP = 113(.75) = 84.75 ≥ 15
 N(1-P)= 113(.25) = 28.25 ≥ 15
 random Sample
 - iii. Calculate Test Statistic $\frac{.646 .75}{.75(1-.75)} = \frac{-.104}{.040734} = -2.5531$

iv. Find p-value
$$2P(24-12^{4}) = 2P(24-2.5531)$$

 $\Rightarrow 2P(24-2.55)$
 $= 2(.0054)$
 $= .0108$

v. Interpret
We reject the null hypothesis in Favor of the alternative
b/c .0108 < 1-.95 = .05. There is sufficient evidence
produce

to suggest that the true population proportion is not. 75.

- 2) A random sample of 27 students shows that 18 rated themselves higher than they rated the class. N = 27 $\Rightarrow -\frac{18}{27} = .66$
 - a) Find a 99% confidence interval for the population proportion of students that rated themselves higher than the rest of the class.
 - · np= 27 (.66)=18 =15
 - · n(1-p)=27(.33)=9 = this is not ≥15 so we proceed w/ caution
 - · random sample

$$\hat{p} \pm Z \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{D}} = .66 \pm 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{16(1-16)}{27}}$$

$$= .66 \pm .23406$$

$$= (.4326,.9007)$$

We are 99% confident that between .4326 and .9007 of the population of students rated thomselves higher than the rest of the class.

b) Find a 95% confidence interval for the population proportion of students that rated themselves higher than the rest of the class.

Same as above except
$$z=1.96$$

 $\overline{.66} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{.66(1-.66)}{27}} = .66 \pm .17781$
 $= (.48895, .84447)$

- c) (7 points) Name one of the two ways to make the confidence interval from part b narrower.
 - 1) Increase n
 - 2) Decrease confidence -> decrease z

- d) Test, with 95% confidence that more students rate themselves higher than the rest of the class. Note: You can assume normality in this case, despite n=27<30.
 - i. State Hypothesis: Ho: p \(\) . 5

 Ha: p \(\) . 5
 - ii. Check Assumptions:

 np= 27(.5) = 13.5 > We need to proceed w/ caution

 n(po) = 27(.5) = 13.5 > b/c 13.5 is not ≥ 15

 random sample
 - iii. Calculate Test Statistic

$$2^{\frac{1}{16}} = \frac{.66 - .5}{\sqrt{.5(1-.5)}} = \frac{.166}{.096225} = 1.73205$$

iv. Find p-value

v. Interpret We reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative because .0418 = 1-.95=.05.

There is sufficient evidence to suggest that more students rate themselves higher than the rest of the class.

e) (6 points) Are the results the same at 99.99% confidence? Why or why not?